

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,985	02/13	/2002	Gote Karlsson	34354	6871
116	7590	03/03/2004		EXAMINER	
PEARNE &		RODRIGUEZ, RUTH C			
1801 EAST 9TH STREET SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3677	
				DATE MAILED: 03/03/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/074,985	KARLSSON, GOTE					
•	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ruth C Rodriguez	3677					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and indicate of the contraction of the contract of the con	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		ino appoai.					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below):					
· · · ·		see NOTE below),					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in	•	rially raducing or simplifying the					
issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 5-9.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen							
10. ☐ Other:							
TO.L. Other.							

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The scope of the newly presented claims 10-16 raise new issue because it is different that the scope of the previously presented claims 1 and 5-9.

J. J. SWANN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

@001

FEB 1 3 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.

10/074,985

Confirmation No.6871

Applicant Filed TC/A.U.

Karlsson, Gote February 13, 2002

3677

Examiner

Sir:

RUTH C. RODRIGUEZ

Title

DEVICE FOR ATTACHING A HANDLE

Docket No.

34354

000116 Customer No.

Mail Stop After Final Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Amendment "C" After Final

DO NOT

This amendment is filed in response to the Office action dated November 14, 2003 (Paper No. 10). The three month period for responding to the Office action expires on February 14, 2004.

Amendments to the Claims begin on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 4 of this paper.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the United States Postal Service via facsimile to (703) 872-9308 on the date Indicated below.

Ronald M. Kachmarlk
Name of Attorney for Applicant(s)

Signature of Attorney

February 13, 2004

Date